Exhibit 7

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	
3	
	In Re:)
4	PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY) CA No. 01-12257-PBS
	AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE) MDL No. 1456
5	LITIGATION) Pages 1 - 30
6	
7	
8	CLASS COUNSEL STATUS CONFERENCE
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PATTI B. SARIS
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
	United States District Court
14	1 Courthouse Way, Courtroom 19
	Boston, Massachusetts
15	September 11, 2007, 10:10 a.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	LEE A. MARZILLI
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
	United States District Court
24	1 Courthouse Way, Room 3205
	Boston, MA 02210
25	(617)345-6787
	(01,,313,0,0,
L	

Page 18

Mrs. Howe, for instance, in AstraZeneca -- I see Mr. Wise is in the courtroom -- she paid 50 percent out of pocket, and the settlement doesn't accomplish her issue. So it's still a problem, but we're working together cooperatively. We've had a call about that to try to resolve that.

 THE COURT: It's just she's not part of the class. It doesn't mean that you can't represent her separately on a separate cause of action. She's just not part of this class because of the common question issue. But putting that aside, I need to trust you. Let's get past all the legal --

MR. HAVILAND: Sure, absolutely.

THE COURT: I need to trust you, and I keep trying to make sure that if there's a consensus among the plaintiffs' team, well, I'll trust you. But truthfully, at this point, I don't trust you. I mean, that sounds like a very mean thing to say, but, you know, at some point I tell people, "You make your bed, you lie in it." You know, I put aside the Stearns things -- well, okay you started a new chapter -- and then there were all the problems with the mediation in front of Eric Green, and there was, like, just this huge human cry about what happened, and I said, "All

right, well, that's contested." And then I got theaffidavits from these class reps saying, "I'm going to pull

concerned about you than you were about the class reps. And

out unless it's me," that makes me worried that you were more

Page 19

then I sealed it, and then I see this, and I feel like you weren't --

MR. HAVILAND: I'm concerned about those clients, your Honor. That's the number one thing.

MR. HAVILAND: I'm concerned about those clients.

THE COURT: The what?

I've always been concerned about those clients. They're the folks represented since 2001 in Lupron and this case. This case is a case that we cocounseled with the Local 68 counsel. We encouraged this coordination for the Keefe Bartels firm so that your Honor would know fully about this, as soon as Judge Bassler got appointed with his experience as a federal judge, that he would speak with you and that there wouldn't be a problem. We're trying to avoid that.

I think Mr. Jackson will agree, we've worked cooperatively to make sure that we don't have a problem. Nobody wants another Lupron. I certainly don't want another Lupron, your Honor, on my card. I want to see this thing worked out where everyone is brought to the table.

One thing we're disagreeing with defendants on is a mediation. Judge Bassler spoke at that conference a long time about mediation. We want the mediation to be here as part of the mediation your Honor has ordered. The defendants didn't agree to that. Their cover letter said, "No, we want

something else." So I don't know how that's going to play out. I suspect Judge Bassler is going to go with his

3 instincts and order that it happen here. That's certainly4 something we encourage.

MR. BERMAN: Your Honor, this is Steve Berman.

THE COURT: I need some thought from the plaintiffs' team about what makes sense here, and then I need to know from the defense team. Although you don't have a direct role in this, what happens in New Jersey will affect you. The last thing I want to do is to disqualify him if it means that every class rep pulls out, and yet I'm worried here.

MR. BERMAN: Let me mention two things on that. If the class reps pull out, which I think he's -- I don't know how he can do that consistent with his obligation to the class, we've done an examination of this; and, unfortunately, because we didn't want it to be this way, we think 99 percent of the class reps that he currently has only bought drugs in 2004 or later. And therefore, under your prior rulings, we actually don't have consumer class reps for most of the defendants at this point.

The second thing -- and I apologize I didn't point this out earlier -- on the trustworthy issue, when I talked to Professor Green about this, I think I should disclose to the Court that he was very upset. I mean, he said he

Page 21

Page 20

1 couldn't call me for two days, he was so angry that

2 Mr. Haviland would file the internal workings, E-mails back

3 and forth of the settlement negotiations with the Court in

4 open court, because one thing you do with Professor Green is,

5 you sign a confidentiality statement that he thinks is like

6 the bible of how you have to conduct settlement

7 negotiations. So he was very upset and concerned that that

8 happened and is trying to figure out, you know, going

9 forward, if Mr. Haviland is one of the co-lead counsel, you

10 know, how could this work.

MR. HAVILAND: Your Honor, we sought leave to put that under seal, and we're still asking you. The request for that is still before your Honor.

THE COURT: When you filed it, did you file it with a motion to seal?

MR. HAVILAND: We sent it to your Honor first as your Honor had asked for with a request that it be put under seal, so that if there was --

MR. BERMAN: It was filed on the ECF.

THE COURT: Was that a mistake in court chambers, or was that a mistake of counsel?

22 MR. HAVILAND: Well, we don't know, your Honor. We 23 first sent it to you when your Honor asked for the

24 declaration, and we asked that it be treated under seal.

25 The ECF picked it up on the Friday before that hearing. We

6 (Pages 18 to 21)